


IFW



Docket No.: 240266US2

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/618,650  
Applicants: Hiroshi KONDOH  
Filing Date: July 15, 2003  
For: SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE, EL DISPLAY  
DEVICE, LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY DEVICE,  
AND CALCULATING DEVICE  
Group Art Unit: 2818  
Examiner: Long K. Tran

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

**RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,  
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Gregory J. Maier

Registration No. 25,599

Customer Number

**22850**

(703) 413-3000 (phone)

(703) 413-2220 (fax)

I:\ATTY\INS\00557\240266US\240266US.PTO\_042205.DOC

Surinder Sachar

Registration No. 34,423

DOCKET NO: 240266US2



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF :  
HIROSHI KONDOH : EXAMINER: LONG K. TRAN  
SERIAL NO: 10/618,650 :  
FILED: JULY 15, 2003 : GROUP ART UNIT: 2818  
FOR: SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE, EL :  
DISPLAY DEVICE, LIQUID CRYSTAL  
DISPLAY DEVICE, AND CALCULATING  
DEVICE

**RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated March 22, 2005, Applicant provisionally elects with traverse Species I with the Claims 1-7, 18-26 and 29-32 readable thereon, directed to a semiconductor device. Applicant makes this election based on the understanding that Applicant is not prejudiced against filing one or more divisional applications that cover the non-elected claims.

Applicant respectfully traverses the Restriction Requirement on the grounds that the outstanding Restriction Requirement has not established that an undue burden would be required if the Restriction Requirement was not issued and if all the claims were examined together. More particularly, MPEP §803 states:


If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions.

In the present application no undue burden has been established if each of the claims were examined together. In contrast, the present restriction requirement subjects the Applicant to the added burden of prosecuting Claims 1-7, 18-26 and 29-32 and Claims 8-17 and 27-28 in separate proceedings.

Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the requirement to elect a single group be withdrawn, and that a full examination on the merits of Claims 1-32 be conducted.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,  
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Gregory J. Maier  
Attorney of Record  
Registration No. 25,599

Customer Number

**22850**

Tel: (703) 413-3000  
Fax: (703) 413 -2220  
(OSMMN 06/04)

Surinder Sachar  
Registration No. 34,423

Tel. 703 413-3000  
Fax 703 413-2220  
GJM/SNS/NPS/maj  
I:\ATTY\NS\00557\240266US\240266US.RRR\_DRAFT2.DOC